FEB 1 9 2016

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BY DEPUTY

KATHY POWELL

VS.

JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

SA16CA0170

## **COMPLAINT**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

NOW COMES KATHY POWELL, Plaintiff herein, who files this Complaint and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, is a female citizen of the United States and of State of Texas, who brings this action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to redress the wrongs done to her by her supervisor at JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, in San Antonio, Texas. Such action constituted discrimination on the basis of race.
- Defendant JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, is a local school district, which may be served with process by serving its superintendent, Carl A. Montoya, at 8012 Shin Oak Drive, Live Oak, Texas 78233.
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit because the action arises under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*.
- 4. The acts complained herein took place in Bexar County, Texas, where Plaintiff KATHY POWELL was employed as a special education aide in the school year 2014-2015 by Defendant JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT.
  - 5 At all times relevant to the suit, the Defendant, JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL

DISTRICT, was engaged in an industry affecting commerce.

- 6. At all times relevant to this suit, the Defendant has employed more than 165 employees within the meaning of Title VII.
- 7. On May 16, 2015, Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, initiated a complaint by contacting the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), alleging that the Defendant, JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, had committed an unlawful employment practice against Plaintiff in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e *et seq.* and the Texas Commission on Human Rights Act, as amended.
- 8. On or about November 24, 2015, Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, was informed that she had the right to sue.
- 9. Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, is a female, 41 years of age, born on November 19, 1972. Plaintiff began working for the Defendant, JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, on or about August 23, 2010. Plaintiff was hired as a substitute teacher.

### **Conditions Precedent**

10. More than 180 days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Plaintiff filed a charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, alleging violations of Chapter 21, Employment Discrimination, of the Texas Labor Code. A notice of the right to file a civil action has been issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit, have been fulfilled. This suit was filed within 90 days after the date a notice of the right to file a civil action was received by Plaintiff and was brought within two years of the date the complaint relating to the action was filed. Attached as Exhibit "A" is the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Notice of Right to File a Civil Action letter dated November 24, 2015.

#### <u>Facts</u>

- 11. Plaintiff began employment with Defendant in 2010 as a substitute teacher. She continued in that position until 2014. She was hired as a fulltime employee as an in-school suspension teacher. In October 2014 she was assigned to a new position as a special education aide, and began working at the Kirby Middle School for Defendant, for the school year 2014-2015.
- 12. In fall of 2014, after her assignment to Kirby Middle Scholl, an employee of Defendant began to stalk and follow her which continued until the employee was terminated in May 2015. Plaintiff was touched, sexual comments and advances were made against Plaintiff. The conduct was evident in that it was recognized by fellow administrators and teachers. Plaintiff made formal complaint in March 2015 to administrators, and the conduct continued and intensified until Plaintiff filed her EEOC charge and the employee was subsequently fired.
- 13. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by Title 42 of the United States Code Sections2000e-16 and by Title 28 of the United States Code Section 1343.
- 14. Venue for all causes of action stated herein lies in the Western District of the State of Texas as the acts alleged as a basis for federal claims took place within the boundaries of that district.
- 15. Defendant, JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, and at all times material hereto, was an employer within the State of Texas and accountable for the actions of its agents and its supervisors.
- 16. These actions subjected Plaintiff KATHY POWELL, a person within the jurisdiction of the State of Texas, to the deprivation of rights secured to Plaintiff by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, to wit: Plaintiff's right under *Section 2000e of Title 42 of the United States Code*, to be free from discrimination in employment because of a disability and to be protected by

due process of law.

17. As a result of the Defendant, JUDSON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT's conduct as set forth above, Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, has suffered and will continue to suffer damages for loss of income, loss of benefits, reimbursement for lost experience factor in higher positions, lost promotional opportunities, future loss of income, mental anguish in the past, mental anguish in the future, and cost of procuring future employment in the amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

18. Plaintiff, KATHY POWELL, requests a trial by jury.

#### **Damages**

- 19. Plaintiff sustained the following damages as a result of the actions and/or omissions of Defendant described hereinabove, including but not limited to:
  - a. all reasonable and necessary costs incurred in pursuit of this suit;
  - b. emotional pain;
  - c. expert fees as the Court deems appropriate;
  - d. inconvenience;
  - e. pre- and post-judgment interest;
  - f. loss of enjoyment of life;
  - g. mental anguish in the past;
  - h. mental anguish in the future;

#### **Prayer**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that upon a final hearing hereof, Plaintiff have judgment against the Defendant for a sum within the jurisdictional limits of the Court for;

- 1. actual damages as described above;
- 2. exemplary damages, excluding interest, as allowed by TEX. LABOR CODE § 21.2585;
- 3. prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law;
- 4. post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
- 5. cost of suit; and
- 6. such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which he may show himself justly entitled to receive.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF JAAY D. NEAL, P.C.

322 W. Woodlawn Avenue

San Antonio, TX 78212 Telephone: (210) 735-2233

Telephone: Facsimile:

(210) 735-8431

jaayneal@aol.com

BY

AY D. NEAL

State Bar No. 14836700

Attorney for Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY

# Case 5:16-cv-00170-OLG Document 1 Filed 02/19/16 Page 6 of 6 U.S. LAUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMM. SION

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

100 NE Loop 410

San Antonio, Texas 78246

#900

# DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: Kathy M. Powell 6318 Port Royal St. San Antonio, TX 78244		From:	San Antonio Field Office 5410 Fredericksburg Rd Suite 200			
			•	San Antonio, TX 78229		
	]	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	e identity is			
EEOC Ch	narge No.	EEOC Representat	ive	Telephone No.		
•		Leah M. Suthe	rlin,			
846-201	15-23454	Investigator		(210) 281-7651		
THE EE	OC IS CLOS	SING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARG	E FOR THE FOLLO	WING REASON:		
	The facts	alleged in the charge fail to state a c	laim under any of the s	tatutes enforced by the EEOC.		
	Your alleg	gations did not involve a disability as	defined by the America	ans With Disabilities Act.		
	The Resp	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.				
		Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge				
Х	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.					
	The EEO	C has adopted the findings of the sta	te or local fair employm	ent practices agency that investigated this charge.		
	Other (bri	efly state)				
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E OF SUIT RIGHT	•		
Discrimii You may lawsuit m	nation in En file a lawsuit rust be filed	nployment Act: This will be the or against the respondent(s) under	only notice of dismiss r federal law based o pt of this notice; or	Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age sal and of your right to sue that we will send your this charge in federal or state court. Your your right to sue based on this charge will be fferent.)	ou.	
alleged E	PA underpay	EPA suits must be filed in federament. This means that backpay ay not be collectible.	al or state court withir due for any violatio	n 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the ons that occurred more than 2 years (3 years	<u>)</u>	
		ı	On behalf of the Comm	nission		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11/24/2015		
Enclosures	<u>s(</u> s)	The same of the sa	Fravis G. Hicks, Director	(Date Mailed)		
V	Robert Russ Walsh Galleg One Internat	jos Trevino and Kyle, PC				

EXHIBIT "A"